Examiner-Initiated Interview Sum		Application No.	Applicant(s	s)
	marv	10/682,562	EVANS, GA	ARY A.
Examiner-initated interview Sum	iiai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brian M. Healy	2883	
All Participants:		Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Brian M. Healy</u> .		(3)		
(2) Mr. Theodore Fay, attorney of record.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 28 March 2006		Time: <u>3PM</u>		
	☐ Applica ] No	int's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 112 rejection				
Claims discussed: 2,7,10,13,16				
Prior art documents discussed: NONE				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT W	VAS DISCUSSE	ED:
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. The separate r	e examiner will provide a we ecord of the substance of the	ritten summary the interview, si	of the substance ince the interview
BRIAN HEALY				
PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 234 2883				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	'Applicant's Representative	Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. fay to talk about a potential 112 rejection because the body of the claim did not include any mention of a seimconductor laser material. The examiner suggested the language on the "Examiner's Amendment" to correct this possible rejection. (See EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT for specific details...

BRIAN HEALY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 251 2983